

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,190	01/26/2004	Pnina Fishman	FISHMAN=9B	6424
1444	7590 12/13/200	EXAMINER		INER
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW			HOWARD, Z	ACHARY C
SUITE 300	SIREE1, IVV		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20001-5303		1646	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/763,190	FISHMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Zachary C Howard	1646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
·	action is non-final.	Α.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	r (PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-17 and 25-31, drawn to a method of monitoring effectiveness of an administered agent, classified in class 424, subclass 9.2.
- II. Claims 18-24, drawn to an in vitro method of determining whether a drug is an A3AR agonist in a sample of diseased cells, classified in class 435, subclass 7.21.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in M.P.E.P. § 806.05 for Inventions that are directed to <u>different</u> methods, restriction is deemed to be proper because these methods appear to constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons: Inventions I and II are directed to methods that are distinct both physically and functionally, and are not required one for the other. Invention I requires search and consideration of a method involving in vivo administration of an agent to a diseased individual, which is not required by any of the other Inventions. Invention II requires search and consideration of a method involving in vitro administration of an agent to a sample of cells from a diseased individual, which is not required by the other Invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, separate search requirements and/or divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1646

Species election in Group I or II

Group I and Group II each contain claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention. For whichever group is elected, Applicant must further make the following species elections:

a) Applicant must elect one of the following patentably distinct species of biological marker in the claimed invention: A3AR, PKA, PKB/Akt, GSK-3β, β-catenin, cyclin D1, c-myc, NK-kB, PI3K, IKK, or c-myc.

Each element is considered to constitute a patentably distinct species because they have separate structures and require separate searches. Search of more than a single species would constitute a burden on the Office.

b) Applicant must also elect one of the following patentably distinct species of physiological parameter in the claimed invention: level of mRNA, level of protein expression, level of phosphorylation, or cellular localization.

Each physiological parameter is considered to constitute a patentably distinct species because each parameter can be measured independently of the others and they require separate searches. Search of more than a single species would constitute a burden on the Office.

c) Applicant must also elect one of the following patentably distinct species of disease state in the claimed invention: a specific proliferative-related disease, a specific type of cancer, melanoma, colon carcinoma, prostate cancer, a specific inflammatory

Art Unit: 1646

disease, a specific disease or condition wherein a beneficial therapeutic effect is evident by increase proliferation, a decrease in white blood cell count (especially neutrophils) as a result of chemotherapy, or a decrease in white blood cell count (especially neutrophils) as a result of radiotherapy.

Each disease state or condition is considered to constitute a patentably distinct species because they are distinct medical conditions with different etiologies and effects, and require separate searches. Search of more than a single species would constitute a burden on the Office.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C 121 to elect one of each of the following a) a single specific biological marker, b) a single physiological condition, and c) a single disease state for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic with regard to the biological marker. Claims 1-6, 8-11, 13-14, 16-22, 24-29 and 31 are generic with regard to the physiological parameter. Claims 1-7, 12, 16-18, 19-23, and 25-30 are generic with regard to the disease state.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Art Unit: 1646

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentable distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zachary C Howard whose telephone number is 571-272-2877. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 571-272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1646

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edeen B.O'Nana

zch